LIFELINE EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING

 \sim Lessens from 1995 Kobe earthquake and 2011 East Japan earthquake \sim

Shiro Takada Nemat Hassani

PREFACE

Life is lined with lifelines in current civilizations all over the world. Nowadays, one may think of an earthquake as a "life-quake" since it has great impacts on the lifespan of structures and infrastructures. Severe ground motions and Tsunami waves attacked east Japan areas on March 11, 2011; explosions with vast energy caused by unexpected crust failure followed nuclear power plant failures. Emotional unrest and anxiety about the future of life are now spreading all over Japan. The number of killed and unknown persons has exceeded 20,000 and flow-out collapsed houses have been estimated to be 130,000. The earthquake caused direct and indirect economic losses of about 2,000 million USD, including infrastructure damage in wide areas. The restoration and reconstruction projects have only just begun.

On the other hand, the 1995 Kobe earthquake caused enormous damage and over 6,400 casualties, mostly concentrated in densely populated urban areas in Japan. This earthquake attacked residential houses, lifelines, transportation systems and all others facilities causing total economic loss of 100 billion USD, leaving Kobe City in need of reconstruction. Eighteen years later, restoration and complete reconstruction of activities in the damaged cities and towns have occurred. However, reconstruction of individual daily life is difficult and emotional effects have not been cured completely. The biggest disaster would be forgetting how families have suffered.

An earthquakes is, a natural phenomena which can cause a big disaster to human society. A lot of research has been done so far on the prevention of earthquake disasters, based on severe earthquakes in the past. Those studies are divided into several categories based on their academic fields, purposes, and methodology, namely as Seismology, Seismological Engineering, or Earthquake Engineering. The first group, seismologists, studies the inner geotechnical structure of the earth and their goals are to find the mechanism of the earthquakes and to predict future earthquake occurrences. The second group, seismological engineers, studies the motion of the ground surface during earthquakes and its effects and measures on the human life, structures, and society. The main purpose of Earthquake Engineering is to increase the seismic safety of structures against earthquakes.

The Earthquake Engineering field has been developing in Japan mainly after the great earthquake in Kanto area (Tokyo and vicinity) since 1923. In this earthquake, more than 250,000 buildings and the urban facilities suffered severe damage with more than 100,000 casualties. After this disaster, there was a large and rapid advancement in this field. However, compared to the other fields of science and technology, there is not as much historical background in the field of Earthquake Engineering.

Concerning the changes in the structures and lifestyles of the cities in recent years, it seems that there should be also some changes in the field of Earthquake Engineering. For example, we can consider the seismic design and construction of bridges, subways, and other long-period structures, which requires a more deep knowledge of earthquake waves.

Therefore it is necessary to have a lot of data knowledge, information, and data to extend research in this field. Since infrastructure has important roles and functions in the cities, it is quite necessary to make each of them anti-earthquake in order to have more safe cities. In the safety factor of a city system, both the seismic and rehabilitation plans of the city are necessary.

Considering the problems such as emergency response and socio-economic after-earthquake conditions, earthquake research has branched out to other fields of science such as psychology, sociology, and economic. In 1978, the Miyagi-Ken Oki Earthquake clearly demonstrated such effects on a big city on a large scale. Besides the buildings and city facilities, other lifeline structures, e.g. power, gas, water, waste water and telecommunication systems (especially buried lines), were severely damaged, and everyday life in the city was suspended as a consequence. The huge damage to the lifelines during this earthquake made Lifeline Earthquake Engineering a topic considered and investigated principally in Japan.

The 1971 San Fernando earthquake is often considered to be the starting point of serious research in the field of Lifeline Earthquake Engineering in USA, since huge lifeline damages occurred during the earthquake.

Among the different parts of lifelines, the seismic behavior of the buried lines for common transmission is different from the other structures; their behavior is mainly affected by the behavior of surrounding soil as opposed to earthquake forces. Consequently, a new branch of Earthquake Engineering has emerged: Lifeline Earthquake Engineering. This branch is different from Building Earthquake Engineering in seismic force evaluation, response analysis, safety evaluation, design, and experiment. Of course, it was built the advancement of the Earthquake Engineering, but from an academic standpoint it is developing.

Most of this book has been established before the 1995 Kobe earthquake; however Japanese seismic design codes in the field of lifeline structures have been revised, introducing lessons of the Kobe earthquake. Also, the 2011 east Japan earthquake is the first experience in Japan under Mw=9.0 with earthquakes following nuclear power plant accidents. This book incorporates various data in the Kobe and the east Japan earthquakes in the field of Lifeline Earthquake Engineering.

The authors would like to give sincere thanks to students and colleagues who have worked with us to complete the research results shown in this book. We would like to give a special thanks for those scientists and experts who have supported us all over the world, especially in Japan, USA, and EU. We are grateful to those authorities for their support to our joint projects and workshops mainly in Japan, China, USA, and Iran. Also authors would like to give our dear thanks to our wives, families, and acquaintances for constantly supporting our research activities.

Shiro Takada and Nemat Hassani September 18,2014

CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Definition of Lifeline Earthquake Engineering	1
1.2 Development of Lifeline Earthquake Engineering	2
References:	4
CHAPTER 2	6
EARTHQUAKE DAMAGE OF LIFELINES	6
2.1 Basis of Lifeline Damage	6
2.1.1 Malfunction of lifeline system function	6
2.1.2 Mechanism of buried pipeline damage	6
2.2 Quantitative Damage Analysis	8
2.2.1 Field survey after earthquake	8
2.2.2 Restoration ratio	13
2.2.3 Pipe damage ratio	15
2.2.4 Damage directivity of buried pipes	19
2.2.5 Pipe damage predictor by KDD (Knowledge Discovery in Database)	25
References	31
CHAPTER 3	34
GROUND MOTION AND EARLY WARNING FOR LIFELINES	34
3.1 Relative Ground Motion for Lifelines	34

3.1.1 Relative ground motion	34
3.1.2 Analysis of relative ground motions observed in high dense array	37
3.1.3 Relative ground motion due to body wave propagation	42
3.2 Site specific ground motion and spectra for lifelines	46
3.2.1.Outline of probabilistic and deterministic theories by Gupta	46
3.2.2 Outline of probabilistic and deterministic theories by HERP	48
3.2.3 Application for site specific design spectra for lifelines	51
3.3 Early warning for lifelines	57
3.3.1 Algorithm of early warning system	57
3.3.2 Development of early warning system	59
References:	60
CHAPTER 4	62
SEISMIC RESPONSE OF LIFELINES	62
4.1 Response Displacement Method	62
4.1.1 Dynamic interaction in a pipe-soil system	62
4.1.2 Response Displacement Method (RDM)	64
4.1.3 Quasi-static Seismic Response Analysis	66
4.2 Response analytical methods	67
4.2.1 3D method of seismic response analysis by beam theory	67
4.2.2 Fault crossing pipeline by FEM analysis [14]	78
4.2.3 DEM analysis for PGD	85

4.3 Response spectrum method	90
4.3.1Basic equation	90
4.3.2 Relative spectrum	92
References	95
CHAPTER 5	97
OBSERVATION AND EXPERIMENT OF LIFELINES	97
5.1 Observation of buried pipelines	97
5.2 Experiments of pipe segment behavior	98
5.3 Experiments for interaction spring between pipe and soil	102
5.4 Experiments for pipe body and joint	105
References	108
CHAPTER 6	109
SEISMIC DESIGN OF BURIED PIPELINE	109
6.1 Japanese Design Code	109
6.1.1 Basis of seismic design of buried pipelines	109
6.1.2 JWWA Seismic design guideline for water supply system	110
6.1.3 Design code of gas pipeline	115
6.1.4 Comparison among Japanese seismic design codes of buried pipeline	121
6.2 US and Other Design Codes	124
6.2.1 UBC97 [7]	124
6.2.2 ASCE7 [8]	125
6.2.3 Iran Standard 2800[9]	125

6.2.4 ALA design guideline [10]	125
6.2.5 Chinese seismic design code [12]	134
6.2.6 Euro-code [12]	137
References	140
CHAPTER 7	141
LIFELINE COUNTERMEASURES FOR ACTIVE FAULT MOVEMENT, LIQUEFA	CTION
AND TSUNAMI	141
7.1 Fault movement	141
7.2 Liquefaction	146
7.3 Tsunami	149
References	152
CHAPTER 8.	154
RETROFIT AND STRENGTHENING OF LIFELINES	154
8.1 Rehabilitation concept	154
8.2 Rehabilitation of sewer-buried pipelines [2]	156
8.3 Bridge, Oil and Gas facilities	161
References	163
CHAPTER 9.	164
SYSTEM RELIABILITY OF LIFELINES	164
9.1 System Concept and Basis of System Analysis of Lifelines	164
9.2 Basic Theory of System Reliability	165
9.2.1 System and its components	165

9.2.2 Reliability	. 165
9.2.3 Network theory	. 166
9.2.4 DFS (Depth First Search) Theory	. 168
9.2.5 ISM (Interpretive Structural Modeling) theory	. 169
9.2.6 System dynamic method	. 171
9.3 Reliability Evaluation by Monte Carlo Method [13]	. 172
9.4 System Serviceability of Lifelines [14]	. 174
9.5 Renewal of Pipelines by System Reliability	. 178
9.6 Transportation System	. 181
References	. 184
CHAPTER 10	. 186
URBAN PLANNING AND LIFELINES	. 186
10.1 Urban Disaster Prevention Plan	. 186
10.2 Hospital Lifeline.	. 189
10.3 Firefighting Water Lifeline [12]	. 197
10.4 Risk management and BCP	. 199
References	. 201
CHAPTER 11	. 203
ELECTRIC POWER SUPPLY SYSTEM UNDER EARTHQUAKE	. 203
11.1 Power Supply System	. 203
11.2 Facility Damage	. 205

11.3 Buried pipes for power supply systems [5]	206
11.4 Earthquake Disaster Countermeasures	208
11.4.1 Disaster prevention countermeasures	208
11.4.2 Emergency countermeasures	209
11.4.3 Restoration Countermeasures	210
References	211
CHAPTER 12	213
GAS SUPPLY SYSTEM UNDER EARTHQUAKE	213
12.1 Gas Supply System	213
12.2 Earthquake Countermeasures	216
12.2.1 Preventive countermeasures	216
12.2.2 Emergency countermeasure [4]	218
12.2.3 Restoration countermeasure [4]	219
References	220
CHAPTER 13	221
WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM UNDER EARTHQUAKE	221
13.1 Water Supply System	221
13.2 Earthquake Countermeasures	224
13.2.1 Prevention countermeasures	225
13.2.2 Emergency countermeasures	227
13.2.3 Restoration countermeasures	227
References	228

CHAPTER 14	230
SEWER SYSTEM UNDER EARTHQUAKE	230
14.1 Sewer Treatment System	230
14.2 Earthquake Countermeasures	233
14.2.1 Preventive countermeasure	233
14.2.2 Emergency countermeasure	234
14.2.3 Restoration countermeasure	234
References	235
CHAPTER 15	236
TELECOMMUNICATION SYSTEM UNDER EARTHQUAKE	236
15.1 Telecommunication System	236
15.2 Earthquake Countermeasures	239
15.2.1 Preventive countermeasures [3], [4] and [5]	239
15.2.2 Emergency countermeasures	241
15.2.3 Restoration countermeasures	241
References	242
CHAPTER 16	243
NUCLEAR POWER PLANT SYSTEM UNDER EARTHQUAKE	243
16.1 Nuclear Power Plant	243
16.2 Accident in F-NPP	245
16.2.1 Accident on the day	245
16.2.2 Hazards	246

16.2.3 Emergency response and restoration problems	249
16.3 Seismic Design	251
16.3.1. Basic principle	251
16.3.2. Facility importance classification	251
16.3 3. Ground motion used for seismic design [6]	251
16.3.4. Evaluation of faults	252
16.3.5. Basis of seismic design	253
16.3.6. Combination of loads and allowable limit values	255
16.3.7. Consideration on earthquake epiphenomenon	256
16.4 Earthquake Disaster Countermeasures	256
16.4.1. Prevention countermeasures	256
16.4.2 Emergency and Restoration countermeasures	258
References	262