Sadr Elevated Expressway

TEHRAN

Organisations Involved

- Client: Municipality of Tehran
- Contractor: Shahid Rajaie Special Group
- Structural Designer: Karane Consulting Engineers
- Alignment design, sound barrier, lighting, peripheral structures, etc: Various consultants

Why Do We Need the Elevated Expressway?



General Layout



West Ramps



Kaveh Junction



Gheytariye Junction



East Ramps



Project Size

	Length , m	Deck Area , m ²	Width , m	Min Radius , m	Max Pier Height , m
Main Bridge	4,900	112,000	22.7	315	18
Ramps & Junctions	4,200	43,000	7.8-13.2	40	19.2
Total	9,100	155,000			
		Û			
	Main total	Bridge 72% of	f		

Project Requirements and Solutions

Requirement	Solution				
Rapid construction time	Use factory produced precast units				
Economy	Use concrete				
Minimum interference with existing traffic	Minimise insitu work, work from above				
Minimum risk to existing traffic	Minimise insitu work, use large units				
Work in restricted space	Minimise insitu work, work from above				
Aesthetics	Use factory produced precast units				
	Ţ				

Use match cast PSC box girders

Main Bridge Superstructure

Cross-Section



Longitudinal-Section



Erection Method: Span-by-span



Typical Erection Cycle	Duration: 4-Day Cycle								
100 March 100 Ma	1		2		3		1	4	
Description	D/S	N/S	D/S	N/S	D/S	N/S	D/S	N/S	
Launching of Gantry									
Segment Placing									
Segment Alignment									
Installation of External P.T.									
Stressing of External P.T.		-							

















Pros & Cons of this Method

Pros:

- High erection speed: average of 3 spans per week (Main Bridge forms 72% of total deck area, so speed is critical)
- No need for access from ground

Cons:

- Needs time for design, fabrication, transport & erection of launching gantries
- Not suitable on tight curves (Our min R=315 m, so in our case it is not a problem)

Means Used to Speed up Construction

Use of simple spans	No need to wait for concrete in insitu joints to gain strength.				
Use of external cables	Speeds up casting, no blockage of ducts during cable installation.				
Use of dry joints	Eliminates gluing of joints, therefore speeds up erection.				

























Ramp and Junction Superstructures

Cross Section



Longitudinal Section



Erection Method: Balanced cantilever using cranes from ground



Typical Erection Cycle		Duration: 8 Shifts								
Description	. 1	2	3	4	5	в	7	8		
installaton of Pier Segment Support Brackets										
Installaton of Pier Segment										
Segment Erection - Pair 1-3								Г		
Segment Erection - Pai/ 4-6										
Segment Erection - Pair 7-6										
Segment Erection - Pair 10-12			Ξ.							

Pros & Cons of this Method

Pros:

- All machinery available, so construction can start immediately
- Can cope with tight curves (Our min R=40 m)

Cons:

- Needs access from ground
- Slower than span by span method
- Interferes with traffic







Main Bridge Piers





Requirements and Solutions

Problem	Solution				
Region of high seismic activity	Impossible to design by				
Tall piers	conventional methods				
Single columns	Ţ				
Restriction on column dimensions	Use Seismic Isolation				
High column loads during erection & service					







Pier Cross-Beams

Same requirements as for superstructure

Use precast prestressed cross-beams















Photo by: Rasool Safizade









Ramp & Junction Piers

Same concept used as for main bridge piers

Single Piers



Portal Piers









Foundations

- Roughly 80% of foundations situated on deep alluvium: Designed as piled foundations / single shaft foundations.
- For the other 20%, alternative spread footing designs were prepared, but their widths were wider than the central reserve, so special construction techniques would have been needed to build them.
- The contractor decided to use piles / single shafts on all foundations.

Multiple Pile Foundation





Single Shaft Foundation









